

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
٠	10/024,570	12/21/2001	Herbert Jainek	178/50786	2454	
	23911 7:	590 05/13/2004		EXAMINER		
	CROWELL &	k MORING LLP	CECIL, TERRY K			
	INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY GROUP	P		DADED MAD (DED	
	P.O. BOX 1430	00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			1723	
				DATE MAIL ED. 05/12/2004		

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/024,570	JAINEK, HERBERT	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avertinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ition. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire learned ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee that the first period of the fee that the first period of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee that period of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) are selected. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) Key raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	· <i>,</i> ,—		and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-9.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<del>-</del>	
10. ☐ Other:		Mr. Terry K. Cecil Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1723	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Although applicant's amendment corrects the lack of antecedent basis rejection of the final rejection, the amendment will not be enterred since applicant's newly claimed radial seal arrangement requires further search and/or consideration.